## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
_	No. 20-7917	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
MIRWAIS MOHAMADI, a/k/a O,	a/k/a Omar,	
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States D Alexandria. Liam O'Grady, Senior LO)		99-cr-00179-LO-1; 1:14-cv-00496-
Submitted: May 25, 2022		Decided: June 27, 2022
Before MOTZ and KING, Circuit J	Judges, and FLOYD,	Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curis	am opinion.	
Mirwais Mohamadi, Appellant Pro	Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Mirwais Mohamadi seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The orders are not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Mohamadi has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED